STATE OF TENNESSEE

FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT



TENNESSEE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) serves as the State of Tennessee's annual performance report to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for five formula grant programs: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG), Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Housing Trust Fund (HTF), and Housing Opportunities for Persons Living with AIDS (HOPWA). The reporting period for this Fiscal Year's (FY 24-25) CAPER is July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025.

A brief description of the grant programs, expenditures for FY 24-25, notable achievements, and the names of the administering state agencies are as follows:

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): The primary purpose of this program is to develop and improve public facilities and infrastructure across Tennessee. In FY 24-25, CDBG awarded 40 new contracts to city governments, county governments, and other subrecipients, totaling \$26,661,194.00 of funding. This amount includes the FY 24-25 award amount plus additional funds that were recaptured, unspent funds from completed projects from previous years, and CDBG loan repayment funds from the CDBG Economic Development Loan Program. A total of 369,183 persons, of whom 231,263 are low-and moderate-income (LMI) persons, will be assisted. Tennessee's Department of Economic and Community Development (ECD) administers the CDBG program.

Community Development Block Grant - Recovery Housing Program (CDBG-RHP): The purpose of this program is to develop transitional housing for people in recovery from substance use disorders. The CDBG-RHP action plan has been approved and the grant agreements received.

The CDBG-RHP program is currently undergoing a substantial amendment to the action plan to facilitate the rapid and efficient deployment of grant funds. This will be achieved in two ways:

- 1. The method of distribution will be revised to accept and award applications at any time, as long as funding is available.
- 2. The maximum grant threshold will be increased to \$1,000,000.

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG): The purpose of the ESG program is to provide services to enable people experiencing homelessness or those at risk of becoming homeless to regain stability in permanent housing. ESG awarded contracts to 27 agencies and cities. The ESG program also expended \$2,975,710.30 of funding for this reporting period. Total ESG funding during the reporting period assisted more than 3,700 low-, very low-, and extremely low-income persons. Of the ESG beneficiaries who reported their status, 171 were veterans, 423 were chronically homeless, 606 were children, and 638 were fleeing domestic violence. The Tennessee Housing Development Agency (THDA) administers the ESG program.

HOME Investment Partnerships Program: The main purpose of the HOME program is to promote the production, preservation, and rehabilitation of single-family housing for low-income households. The HOME program expended \$10,616,246.00 in funds during FY 24-25 for these activities. In addition, HOME completed a total of 76 housing units in FY 24-25; 25 of these units were new, single-family homes, and 51 were rehabs of existing single-family homes. Previous years' allocations funded these units, since HOME projects take multiple years to complete. Of the 76 households assisted, 10 were extremely low-income, 6 very low-income, and 60 low-income. In addition, the HOME program expended \$539,330.06 for tenant based rental assistance (TBRA) for 76 individuals who aged out of foster care. THDA administers the HOME program.

Housing Trust Fund (HTF): The purpose of the HTF program is to develop and rehabilitate rental housing for households with incomes at or below 30 percent of the area median income (AMI). The HTF program expended \$8,507,156.00 in FY 23-24. Like HOME projects, HTF projects take multiple years to complete. 112 HTF units were completed and put into service in FY 24-25. THDA administers the HTF program.

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA): The purpose of this program is to provide housing and services benefitting low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. The HOPWA program expended \$2,379,960.93 to six project sponsors across the state and for State of Tennessee administrative activities. HOPWA funds are used to provide assistance in the following categories: Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), short term rental assistance, mortgage and utility assistance (STRMU), supportive services, permanent housing placement, resource ID, and ongoing housing case management. The HOPWA program served 874 qualifying individuals and their families in need of HOPWA services during FY 24-25. The Tennessee Department of Health (DOH) administers the HOPWA program.

In addition, descriptions of temporary programs to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic with funding from the CARES Act are as follows:

CDBG-CV: The purpose of this program is to fund community development, public facilities, and infrastructure projects related to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. One additional activity was awarded in FY 24-25 for a total of \$116,992 to address food insecurity. ECD administers the CDBG-CV program.

Program Year Goals and Outcomes

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Program Year Outcomes
			Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	HTF: 80 LIHTC: 824 TN HTF: 178 CITC: 2,238 TOTAL: 3,320
			Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	HTF: 32 LIHTC: 60 CITC: 167 TOTAL: 259
Creation and preservation of affordable	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$11,155,576.06 HTF: \$8,507,156.00 LIHTC/MTBA: \$19,536,918.00 HCV: \$54,858,119.00	Homeowner housing added	Household Housing Unit	HOME: 25
housing	Housing	TN HTF: \$125,000.00 CITC: \$550,972,688.00	Homeowner housing rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	TOTAL: 86 HOME: 51 CITC: 6
			Direct financial assistance to homebuyers	Households Assisted	TOTAL: 57 HOME: 51 HCV: 40 CITC: 59 TOTAL: 150
			Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid rehousing	Households Assisted	HOME: 68 HCV: 6,198 TOTAL: 6,274
Fair housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless	Homeowner Counseling/Homebuyer Education: \$42,886.00	Other	Households Assisted	Homeowner Counseling/Hom ebuyer Education: 214

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Program Year Outcomes
Physical infrastructure development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$12,965,511.00	Public facility or infrastructure activities for low/moderate income housing benefit	Households (Persons) Assisted	CDBG: 58,734
Create recovery transitional housing	Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG-RHP: \$0.00	Person's Assisted	Persons Assisted	CDBG-RHP: 0
Preserve			Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid rehousing	Households Assisted	ESG: 642
homeless facilities & supportive services	Homeless	ESG: \$2,975,710.30	Homeless person overnight shelter	Persons Assisted	ESG: 1,829
			Homelessness prevention	Persons Assisted	ESG: 138
Preserve housing for	Affordable Housing	HOPWA: \$2,379,960.93	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid rehousing	Households Assisted	HOPWA: 127
persons with AIDS/HIV	Non- Homeless Special Needs		Overnight/emerge ncy shelter/transitiona I housing beds added	Beds	HOPWA: 74

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Program Year Outcomes
			Homelessness prevention	Persons Assisted	HOPWA: 360
			Supportive services	Persons Assisted	HOPWA: 626
Revitalize disinvested areas & improve livability	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$13,695,683.00 CDBG-CV: \$0	Other	Other (Persons Assisted)	CDBG: 310,449 CDBG-CV: 0 TOTAL: 435,503
TA, Job/Business Development, Administration	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$927,143.00	Other	Other	CDBG: 0
Addressing Food Access and Insecurity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG-CV: \$116,992.00	Public service activities other than low/moderate income housing benefit	Jobs created/retained Businesses Assisted	CDBG-CV: 540
Daycare Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG-CV: \$0.00	Public service activities other than low/moderate income housing benefit	Persons Assisted Jobs created/retained	CDBG-CV: 0 TOTAL: 0

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

One of the State of Tennessee's highest housing priority areas is to provide affordable housing opportunities throughout the state. Strategies to address this include preserving the existing affordable housing stock, increasing new affordable housing, or creating new homeownership opportunities. The

State has made progress on each aspect of this priority area during this reporting period.

With regard to preserving the affordable housing stock, HOME grantees completed 51 housing rehabilitations projects in FY 24-25. To increase the number of new affordable housing units and create new homeownership opportunities and, HTF completed 112 rental units, and HOME completed 25 single-family new construction units during this reporting period. Note that, in addition to the Consolidated Planning programs, other THDA programs including the Community Investment Tax Credit (CITC) Program, the Low Income Housing Credit (LIHTC) Program, Multifamily Bond Authority Program, and the Tennessee Housing Trust Fund, which also contribute to the state's goal of preserving and developing new housing opportunities for Tennesseans.

CDBG funds in Tennessee are primarily awarded to water and sewer public infrastructure projects and public facilities projects. These activities contribute to the state's priority to improve the livability of communities through ensuring infrastructure development, health and safety, and targeting economic distress. For FY 24-25, approximately 48 percent of CDBG funds were used for infrastructure development, and approximately 52 percent for community livability, health and safety projects.

The grant agreement for the FY 24-25 CDBG-RHP funds was not fully executed until the spring of 2024. Two grant activities totaling \$1.5 million are currently in the contracting process. These activities will be funded with FY 23-24 funds and recoveries. The CDBG-RHP program is currently undergoing a substantial amendment to the action plan to facilitate the rapid and efficient deployment of additional grant funds. The amendments are expected to be submitted and the grant agreement be in place in the fall of 2025.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

	CDBG*	CDBG-CV*	HOME	ESG*	HOPWA*	HTF	Total
Race							
White	349,457	ı	86	2,502	540	56	352,641
Black/African American	13,254	-	43	980	293	52	14,622
Asian	755	ı	•	10	1	ı	766
American Indian or American Native	685	-	-	46	0	-	731
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	608	-	-	20	1	-	629
Other/Multi- racial	4,424	-	15	227	39	4	4,709
Total	369,183	ı	144	3,785	874	112	41,898
Ethnicity							
Hispanic	4,672	ı	7	171	-	4	4,854
Non Hispanic	364,511	ı	-	3,614	-	1	368,125
Unknown	-	-	137	-	874	108	1,119

^{*}CDBG, CDBG-CV, ESG, and HOPWA represent individual level demographic data, while HTF and HOME represent household level demographics.

Note: Some programs are missing client data either because the program did not collect the data or a client preferred not to report. As a result, the totals for race and ethnicity may not always match. In addition, other/multiracial totals are not collected in IDIS, but are reported here.

Narrative

The demographic characteristics of the CDBG-RHP, Addressing Food Insecurity (CDBG-CV), and Child Care Creation (CDBG-CV) funds are unknown at this time. Due to the design of these programs, demographic characteristics will not be known until the completion of the activities. Therefore, this information is not included in the table above.

The HOME demographic breakdown above is for two different types of recipients. For the rehabilitation and new construction of housing: 50 heads of household were White, 19 were Black or African American, and 7 were another race or multiracial. For the beneficiaries of tenant based rental assistance (TBRA), 36 were White, 240 were Black or African American, and 8 were another race or multiracial.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	\$28,105,224.03	\$27,588,337.00
CDBG-RHP	CDBG-RHP	\$1,504,598.00	\$0.00
CDBG-CV	CDBG-CV	\$23,374,369.00	\$116,992.00
HOME	HOME	\$23,243,042.12	\$11,155,576.06
HOPWA	HOPWA	\$2,303,205.00	\$2,379,960.93
ESG	ESG	\$3,244,618.00	\$2,975,710.30
	Housing Trust		
Housing Trust Fund	Fund	\$3,427,564.64	\$8,507,156.00
LIHTC/MTBA*	LIHTC/MTBA	-	\$19,536,918
Housing Counseling/Homebuyer	Homebuyer		
Education**	Education	-	\$54,858,119.00
TN Housing Trust Fund****	TN HTF	-	\$125,000.00
CITC	CITC	-	\$550,972,688.00
Housing Choice Vouchers***	HCV	-	\$54,858,119.00

^{*} Represents the total bond authority (MTBA) and the single year 4% LIHTC allocation awarded to four (4) projects placed in service during the reporting period, and the single year 9% LIHTC allocation for four (4) additional projects placed in service during the reporting period.

Narrative

Approximately 93 percent of the total \$23,374,369.00 CDBG-CV allocation for programming has been awarded. Expenditures are underway and most of the funds are awarded to activities that will expend relatively quickly. With HUD's waiver of the 80 percent expenditure requirement, the state has through July 2026 to expend the funds. CDBG submitted a substantial amendment to HUD in December 2023 to allow the award of more shovel-ready activities. An additional substantial amendment is being considered in the fall of 2025 to reallocate any remaining funding to activities currently underway.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Non-Entitlement Statewide Grant			State Funding
Allocation Priority	87%	87%	Priority

^{**} Represents only the HUD Housing Counseling Program grant expenditures, not including administrative funding.

^{***}Represents the portion of funds expended during FY24-25 from multi-year grants associated with projects placed in service.

^{****} Represents Housing and Utility Assistance Payment expenditures for all HCV programs: Mainstream Voucher Program, Section 811 Non-elderly Disabled (NED) & HCV for Homeownership, not including administrative funding.

			State Funding
Statewide Grant Allocation Priority	13%	13%	Priority

Narrative

For some programs, funds were directed to areas of the state with the greatest need and/or areas that have had disproportionately fewer funds made available to them in previous years. For example, all applicants for CDBG funding are scored with respect to community need and the relationship between the county's unemployment rate and per capita income. All CDBG applicants (other than those considered under the slums and blight and urgent need national objectives) must serve residential areas where at least 51 percent of the residents are low- and moderate-income people.

In addition, the HOME program uses the Not Proportionately Served measure in its Urban/Rural program to advantage counties that did not receive as much HOME funding per capita as other counties in previous years. The HOME competition also divides the Urban/Rural program resources between urban and rural counties to ensure that the state's HOME program serves areas with diverse population densities.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG: HUD does not require CDBG to have match requirements. Tennessee's CDBG program, however, awards many projects each year that will be completed on publicly owned property, particularly water and sewer system improvement projects where work is often done at water and wastewater treatment plants or other similar properties.

ESG: The ESG program requires grantees to provide a dollar-for-dollar match for ESG funds. All grantees must supplement their ESG funds with equal amounts of funds or in-kind support from non-ESG sources. Matching funds or in-kind support must be provided after the date of the grant award to the recipient and within the period of the ESG contract with THDA.

HOME: THDA awards points in its application scoring matrix to encourage applicants to leverage additional resources with the HOME program, including resources like the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati, other federal funds (including the Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program), local agency resources, and homeowner contributions. Additionally, homes constructed by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) will often use the THDA mortgage revenue bond financed first mortgage program as leverage to provide an affordable low-interest, fixed-rate loan for the home buyer.

HTF: Though match and leveraging are encouraged in the development budget of the proposed projects, neither are required. However, applicants receive additional points in the competitive application scoring process for providing match and/or leverage to the projects. In most cases, leverage was included in the projects through competitive grants from the Tennessee State Housing Trust Fund and tax credits from Community Investment Tax Credits (CITC) or the Low Income Housing Credits (LIHTC). In addition, some applicants who were Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) brought leverage, and in some cases match, by providing owner equity to the projects.

HOPWA: HUD does not require HOPWA to have match requirements. In the State of Tennessee, however, the HOPWA program sometimes utilizes Ryan White Part B Program funding as leveraging in the state administration of the program. For FY 24-25, HOPWA did not leverage Ryan White funds.

HOME MATCH REPORT

The match information below is from the federal fiscal year October 1, 2023 - September 30, 2024, since Tennessee's CAPER reporting deadline is September 28, 2025.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
Source of Match	Amount					
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$2,886,536.48					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	\$7,592,843.19					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	\$10,479,379.67					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	\$3,318,136.02					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	\$7,161,243.65					

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year							
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land /Real Property	Required Infrastruc ture	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Finan cing	Total Match
16063	10/20/2023		5,000.17					5,000.17
16189	5/24/2024	11,450.00						11,450.00
16038	5/30/2024	30,280.00						30,280.00
16064	6/28/2024	40,000.00						40,000.00
15993	6/28/2024	96,350.00						96,350.00
15998	7/30/2024	900.00	44,165.88					45,065.88
15750	11/15/2023	74,999.00						74,999.00
15980	10/23/2023		49,201.36					49,201.36
15983	3/24/2024		54,384.36					54,384.36
15971	6/28/2024		48,678.25					48,678.25
15920	5/14/2024		62,591.53					62,591.53
15922	8/26/2024		52.107.34					52.107.34
15924	9/12/2024		48,761.34					48,761.34
15925	5/30/2024		98,621.94					98,621.94
15944	4/12/2024		40,577.86					40,577.86
16100	8/18/2024		79,206.66					79,206.66
16132	8/25/2023		71,407.50					71,407.50

		Match Cont	ribution for th	ne Federal	Fiscal Ye	ar		
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land /Real Property	Required Infrastruc ture	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Finan cing	Total Match
State:								
Dawn								
of								
Норе	11/8/2023	400,997.00						400,997.00
State:								
Mendi								
ng								
Heart	12/11/2023	789,194.00						789,194.00
State:								
Park								
Center	2/22/2024	1,009,352.00						1,009,352.00
State:								
Urban								
Housin								
g	6/11/2024	1,500,000.00						1,500,000.00
State:								
CHET	9/21/2023	802,000.00						802,000.00
State:								
Emory								
Valley	2/13/2024	615,618.00						615,618.00
State:								
Project	0 /5 /5 55							
Return	9/5/2024	622,928.00						622,928.00
State:								
Easter	7/40/2024	444.074.00						444.074.00
n 8	7/18/2024	444,071.00						444,071.00
State:	7/0/2024	F00 000 00						E00 000 00
THDA	7/9/2024	500,000.00						500,000.00

HOME Minority Business Enterprise / Women's Business Enterprise (MBE/WBE) Report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period								
Balance on hand at begining of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period				
\$0	\$449,288.48	\$0	\$0	\$449,288.48				

	Total	1	Minority Busin	ess Enterprises		White Non-
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	C
Sub-Contract	S					
Number	0	0	0	0	0	C
Dollar						
Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts	_					
Dollar						
Amount	\$0	0	\$0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contract	s					
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar						
Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Amount \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Note: Totals for non-MBE and non-WBE were not calculated.

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-	
		Alaskan Native or America n Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

CR-20 - Affordable Housing

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	Actual
	ESG: 1,123
	HCV: 40
	HOPWA: 38
Number of Homeless households to be	
provided affordable housing units	TOTAL: 1,201
	ESG: 47
	CITC: 2,185
	HOME: 76
Number of Non-Homeless households to be	
provided affordable housing units	TOTAL: 2,308
	CDBG-RHP: 0
	HOPWA: 91*
	TN HTF: 112
	HCV: 2,625*
Number of Special-Needs households to be	
provided affordable housing units	TOTAL: 2,828

^{*}Households with at least one person reporting a disability.

	Actual
	HOME: 68
	HOPWA: 127
	ESG: 642
	HCV: 6,198
Number of households supported through	
Rental Assistance	Total: 7,035
	HOME: 25
	HTF: 80
	CITC: 2,472
	TN HTF: 178
	LIHTC: 824
Number of households supported through	
The Production of New Units	Total: \$3,579

	Actual
	HTF: 32
	CDBG: 0
	HOME: 51
	CITC: 159*
	TN HTF: 0
	LIHTC: 60
Number of households supported through	
Rehab of Existing Units	Total: 302
	CITC: 8**
	TN HTF: 0
Number of households supported through	
Acquisition of Existing Units	Total: 8

^{*}These households are supported by projects that are rehabilitation only.

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The actual households supported through the various activities listed above include outcomes for the five formula programs, as well as LIHTC, HCV, CITC, and the Tennessee Housing Trust Fund.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The Consolidated Planning Partners will adjust our annual housing goals to reflect the programs, resources, opportunities, and threats impacting affordable housing in Tennessee. In addition, the State of Tennessee will consult its five-year Consolidated Plan for FY 20-24 to ensure any proposed strategies and changes are aligned to the state's goals. Finally, the state will review the results in past CAPERs to understand progress toward goals and to set realistic goals for future years.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	CDBG-CV Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely low-income	85,841	0	10	112
Low-income	49,215	0	134	0
Moderate-income	96,207	0	0	0
Total	231,263	0	76	112

Extremely low-income households have an income of 30 percent or less of the Area Median Income (AMI). Low-income households have an income of between 30 and 80 percent of the AMI. (Very low-income households, a subset of the low-income household category, have an income of between 30 and 50 percent AMI.) Moderate income households have an income between 80 and 120 percent of AMI.

^{**}These households are supported by projects are both acquisition and rehabilitation.

CDBG's actual numbers of LMI households served are determined by estimating the number of LMI households who benefit from the public infrastructure projects completed in a geographic location. For more information, please see the CDBG PER worksheet in Appendix C, which breaks down the individual projects included and the estimate of LMI households impacted by each project.

The demographic characteristics of the CDBG-RHP, Addressing Food Insecurity (CDBG-CV), and Child Care Creation (CDBG-CV) funds are unknown at this time. The beneficiaries of the CDBG-RHP funds are presumed to be LMI persons, and the vast majority of the beneficiaries of the food bank, food insecurity, and child care activities funded with CDBG-CV funds are expected to be LMI persons.

HOME does not serve moderate-income households. For projects that rehabilitated or constructed housing, HOME served 76 extremely low-income households. In addition, of the 76 households classified as low-income, 6 were considered very low-income (with incomes between 30 and 50 percent of AMI), and 60 were considered low-income (with incomes between 50 and 80 percent of AMI). In addition, HOME provided tenant based rental assistance (TBRA) to 68 low-income households.

HTF only serves extremely low-income households.

In addition to those listed in the table above, THDA administers many other housing programs that support affordable housing for lower income households.

The LIHTC program is a substantial contributor to new and renovated affordable housing units for low-income Tennesseans. For FY 24-25, \$19,536,918 was expended toward four 4% projects and toward four 9% projects.

In addition, lenders received Community Investment Tax Credits (CITC) on approximately \$550.9 million in below market loans or contributions made to eligible non-profit agencies and public housing authorities to assist 2,472 households through a range of housing services and to create or preserve units of affordable rental housing.

The Tennessee Housing Trust Fund (TN HTF) provides funding for several programs within THDA to address significant housing needs. For the State of Tennessee's fiscal year, \$125,000 was expended to complete 178 rental units.

Many low-income homebuyers are also supported with the homebuyer education initiative (HBEI). In total, 214 THDA borrowers received homebuyer education.

Each of these efforts is critical to affordable housing goals and aligned to decreasing impediments to fair housing choice. More information about any of the programs listed in this section can be found at THDA.org. Calendar year annual spending and outcomes for these and other THDA programs are detailed in the Investments and Impacts Report.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

For the ESG program, street outreach activities are a key component for grantees. These activities include essential services to eligible participants provided on the street or in parks, abandoned buildings, bus stations, campgrounds, and in other such settings where unsheltered persons are staying. Eligible participants under this category/activity are unsheltered individuals and families who qualify as homeless under Category 1 of HUD's Definition of Homelessness.

Beyond engaging homeless persons through street outreach, grantees of the ESG program continue their support to this population through case management, which includes an assessment of housing and service needs, and coordinating the delivery of individualized services. To reach out to homeless persons and assess their individual needs, ESG grantees provided six main services:

- Engagement: activities to locate, identify, and build relationships with unsheltered homeless people and engage them for the purpose of providing immediate support, intervention, and connections with homeless assistance programs and/or mainstream social services and housing programs. These activities consist of making an initial assessment of needs and eligibility; providing crisis counseling; addressing urgent physical needs, such as providing meals, blankets, clothes, or toiletries; and actively connecting and providing information and referrals to programs targeted to homeless people and mainstream social services and housing programs, including emergency shelter, transitional housing, community-based services, permanent supportive housing, and rapid rehousing programs.
- Case Management: using the centralized or coordinated assessment system as required under § 576.400(d); conducting the initial evaluation required under § 576.401(a), including verifying and documenting eligibility; counseling; developing, securing and coordinating services; obtaining federal, state, and local benefits; monitoring and evaluating program participant progress; providing information and referrals to other providers; and developing an individualized housing and service plan, including planning a path to permanent housing stability.
- Emergency health services: outpatient treatment of urgent medical conditions by licensed medical professionals; and providing medication and follow-up services.
- Emergency mental health services: outpatient treatment of urgent mental health conditions by licensed professionals; medication costs and follow up services.
- Transportation travel by outreach workers or other service providers during the provision of eligible outreach activities and the transportation of clients to emergency shelters or other service providers.

Services to special populations which are essential services that have been tailored to address
the needs of homeless youth, victims of domestic violence, and related crimes/threats, and/or
people living with HIV/AIDS who are literally homeless.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

To address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons, ESG grantees provide emergency shelter, essential services and fund operational expenses.

ESG grantees provide essential services connected to emergency shelter and transitional housing, including services concerned with employment, health, drug abuse, and education, as well as the staff salaries necessary to provide these services. These services may include, but are not limited to, the following: assistance in obtaining permanent housing; medical and psychological counseling and supervision; employment counseling; nutritional counseling; substance abuse treatment and counseling; assistance in obtaining other federal, state and local assistance including mental health benefits; employment counseling; medical assistance; veteran's benefits; and income support assistance such as Supplemental Security Income, food stamps and aid to families with dependent children; other services such as child care, legal services, life skills training, transportation, job placement and job training; and the staff salaries necessary to provide the above services.

Under this category, operating expenses related to the operation of emergency and transitional housing, including but not limited to, maintenance, operation, rent, repair, security, fuel, equipment, insurance, utilities, food and furnishings are also included, which makes the State of Tennessee's efforts under this category possible.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

For the ESG program, eligible activities include those related to preventing persons from becoming homeless and to assist participants in regaining stability in their current or other permanent housing. Specifically, ESG grantees typically provide financial assistance in order to help prevent and rapidly rehouse clients in the following ways: pay rental application fees (excludes pet deposit), moving costs, security deposit for rental or utility, payment of rental arrears up to six months, and short-term (up to three months) or medium-term (up to nine months) rental and/or utility assistance. To ensure participants maintain stability, ongoing case management and supportive services are provided.

Under this category, THDA defines these low-income individuals and families as extremely low-income individuals and families with household incomes of at or below 30 percent of AMI who qualify as

homeless under Categories 2, 3 and 4 of HUD's Definition of Homelessness or any category of HUD's Definition of "At Risk of Homelessness."

In addition, HOME assists youth aging out of foster care with tenant based rental assistance (TBRA), as youth aging out of foster care are more likely to become homeless than youth with family support.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

To help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, ESG grantees provide financial assistance for the following: moving costs, security deposit for rental or utility, payment of rental arrears up to six months, and short-term (up to 3 months) or medium-term (up to 9 months) rental and/or utility assistance. In addition to financial assistance, participants are provided with services such as housing search and placement, housing stability case management, mediation, legal services and assistance with credit repair. Under this category, to be eligible, individuals and families must meet the definition of homeless, living in an emergency shelter or other place described in the definition provided by HUD.

CR-30 - Public Housing

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The 2025 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) for the Low Income Housing Credit (LIHTC) program includes a set-aside of up to 25 percent of the state's competitive housing credit ceiling to assist the redevelopment of public housing units. Within the set-aside, THDA prioritizes applications involving public housing authorities (PHAs), which HUD has selected for the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program. The 2025 QAP includes a preference for subsequent phases to previously funded RAD conversations. In this way, THDA ensures that local PHAs have the resources necessary to complete largescale multiphase RAD conversions.

PHAs are also eligible applicants for the Housing Trust Fund (HTF), and THDA has made a considerable effort to communicate with and encourage PHAs to apply for HTF funds to support their RAD conversions.

In addition, in the 2024 QAP, the Choice Neighborhoods Initiative (CNI) was prioritized through the Economic Development Area Set Aside, and a total of \$3.6 million was awarded to two CNIs. In this way, THDA is confronting gentrification and helping serve Tennessee's low-income residents and communities. THDA also uses its discretionary basis boost authority under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) to ensure that these transactions are financially viable.

The 2025 QAP was approved by the THDA Board of Directors and Governor Lee as of November 19, 2024.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

THDA created the New Start Loan Program, which is designed to promote the construction of new homes for homeownership opportunities for low- and very-low income Tennesseans, which is often the income range for public housing residents. The New Start Loan Program is a zero percent interest loan program delivered through non-profit organizations with established programs for the construction of single-family housing for low- and very-low-income households.

THDA manages a Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) program for its Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program participants as an effort to enable participants to become self-sufficient or independent of welfare assistance.

The FSS program includes financial counseling and pre-purchase homeownership counseling for participants. FSS participants sign a five-year contract in which they agree to find employment and identify goals for achieving financial independence. Staff assists participants in identifying goals and provides referrals for resources in the community. Participants are eligible for the establishment of an escrow account, which is based on increased income as a result of employment. The funds in the escrow

account may be accessed by the participant once the contract is fulfilled or the family requires an interim disbursement in pursuit of an established goal.

In 1998, the FSS program was mandated to have 181 participants. Since 1998, over 181 participants have graduated from the program, making the program voluntary. THDA has opted to have 175 slots available to Housing Choice Voucher participants.

THDA also offers a homeownership voucher option to participants of the FSS program. The THDA Homeownership Voucher Program offers a mortgage subsidy to low-income families who are unable to purchase a home through traditional financing. With the Homeownership Voucher Program, families typically pay 30 percent of their monthly-adjusted income (or the family's Total Tenant Payment) towards homeownership expenses, and THDA pays the difference between the family Total Tenant Payment and the actual monthly mortgage payment. The mortgage assistance payment is paid directly to the lender or loan servicing company and not to the family.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable.

CR-35 - Other Actions

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment.

The State of Tennessee implements several programs outside of the five Consolidated Planning programs that remove barriers to affordable housing and support our FY 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan. The following programs encourage the preservation and creation of affordable housing units.

Community Investment Tax Credit Program (CITC): In order to encourage the development of affordable housing units, this program enables financial institutions to obtain a credit against the sum total of taxes imposed by the Franchise and Excise Tax Laws when qualified loans, qualified investments, grants or contributions are extended to eligible housing entities for engaging in eligible low income housing activities. Eligible activities include creating or preserving affordable housing for low-income Tennesseans; activities that assist low-income Tennesseans in obtaining safe and affordable housing; activities that build the capacity of an eligible non-profit organization to provide housing opportunities for low-income Tennesseans; and any other low-income housing related activity approved by the THDA Executive Director and the Commissioner of Revenue.

Low Income Housing Credits (LIHTC): Another program that encourages the development and preservation of affordable housing is the Low Income Housing Credit (LIHTC) program. LIHTC is a credit against federal income tax liability each year for 10 years for owners and investors in low-income rental housing. The amount of tax credits is based on the portion of the buildings' total depreciable cost basis dedicated to serving qualified low-income residents. THDA ensures that costs are reasonable and determined in compliance with Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Multifamily Tax-Exempt Bond Authority (MTBA): The Bond Authority program, like the LIHTC program, supports rehabilitation, acquisition and rehabilitation, and new construction of affordable rental units. Municipalities request an allocation of the state's bond authority and sell bonds in support of housing development. Proposed developments utilizing tax exempt bonds are eligible for non-competitive Low Income Housing Credits.

Tennessee Housing Trust Fund (TN HTF): Many of the primary programs within THDA to address difficult housing needs are funded through the state's Housing Trust Fund. During this reporting period, the Emergency Repair Program helped 338 elderly and/or disabled homeowners with critical home repairs; 88 homes were provided ramps and modifications based on disability needs; and 28 homes were bought by low-income homebuyers through the state's Trust Fund support of Habitat for Humanity. In addition, the Rebuild and Recover Program reconstructed ten weather-damaged homes.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

The State of Tennessee is committed to addressing the obstacles facing underserved Tennesseans. Our 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan identified affordable housing, community development and infrastructure, and housing and services for persons experiencing homelessness and those with special needs as focus areas to better meeting the needs of those underserved.

The 2025 HTF Program Description limits HTF funding availability to projects located in rural areas, or to permanent supportive housing (PSH) units. The extremely low income targeting under the HTF program provides critical capital funds to units serving an income level that is generally consistent with PSH households.

Funds were directed to the areas of the state with greatest need and/or areas that have had disproportionately fewer funds made available to them. HOME used the Not Proportionally Served measure to advantage counties not receiving as many funds per capita as other counties. CDBG used the Ability to Pay measure that determines the level of local financial contribution that is required, allowing impoverished communities receiving grants to receive more funds. This ability to pay determination includes per capita income, the value of taxable property, and the value of taxable sales.

CDBG-DR: Another underserved need is supporting communities in Tennessee with disaster relief and resilience. An accomplishment worth noting is that the Tennessee Department of Economic and Community Development (ECD) was a winner of the National Disaster Resilience Competition (CDBG-NDR) from HUD and the Rockefeller Foundation during this reporting period. NDRC is funded through CDBG – Disaster Recovery appropriations provided by the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013. The initiative is a federal, state and local collaborative effort to create rural resilient communities along the Mississippi River in Tennessee. HUD funding will help with the restoration of two miles of degraded floodplain, the rehabilitation of a wastewater lagoon, and the creation of wetlands and recreation space.

Tennessee has been awarded CDBG Disaster Recovery and Mitigation funds to address the impacts of federally declared major disasters that occurred in 2020 and 2021 and to prepare for and mitigate future natural disasters in the designated areas. Collectively, Tennessee is receiving approximately \$85 million. The action plan has been approved and the agreement between HUD and the state has been executed. The most impacted and distressed (MID) counties are in the process of developing their plans for the use of the funding allocations.

Tennessee has also been awarded CDBG Disaster Recovery and Mitigation funds to address the impacts of federally declared major disasters that occurred in 2023 and 2024 and to prepare for and mitigate future natural disasters in the designated areas. Tennessee is receiving approximately \$85 million for these disasters as well. The action plan is being finalized for submission to HUD, and the agreement is expected to be executed in the fall of 2025.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Title X of the federal Residential Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 became effective on December 6, 1996. On September 26, 2000, the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) implemented a certification program and compiled a registry of certified lead inspectors, risk assessors, contractors, and training facilitators.

In April 2001, HUD and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a joint memorandum to clarify Title X requirements for rehabilitation of housing, specifically the definition of abatement under regulations issues by EPA and HUD. It also asserted in the memorandum that HUD and EPA regulations were complementary. On May 2, 2011, THDA and TDEC issued a joint memorandum that allowed for the use of HUD regulations in rehabilitation projects. TDEC certified that lead-based paint professionals must be used. These joint efforts have enabled rehabilitation efforts to continue safely.

Each of the five Consolidated Planning grant programs have lead-based paint requirements. In regards to the CDBG and HOME programs, subrecipients must give participants of the program notice of possible lead hazards within the unit when the house is dated pre-1978 and must inform them of possible dangers. The Lead Chapter of the HOME Operations Manual, which provides further guidance for compliance with HUD regulations, is made available to all grantees and can be found on THDA's website. THDA monitors for compliance with lead-based paint regulations during project monitoring. Housing assisted with RSG funds are also subject to the Lead Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act and based on the activity, must comply with various subparts of the Act.

The Housing Trust Fund follows the same requirements as the HOME program. Data on lead-based paint in Tennessee was updated in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families.

Reducing the number of poverty-level families in the State of Tennessee is a core mission of the Consolidated Planning program. Each program utilizes data to inform decision-making and adapts processes to consider the special needs of lower income individuals and families. The Consolidated Planning programs serve mainly individuals and families under 80 percent of the AMI, with a few exceptions. A few programs have special considerations for those who fall into even lower income ranges.

CDBG: As a part of its scoring mechanism for housing rehabilitation, ECD (CDBG) project need points are awarded based on the number of persons with higher poverty levels in the state, specifically persons 62 years of age or above, and/or female heads of household, and/or disabled individuals.

ESG: The program provides services geared towards assisting families who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless. In addition to shelter services, ESG provides street outreach services, rapid rehousing services, homelessness prevention activities, and rental assistance.

HOME: The program serves all households under 80 percent of the AMI. Very low-income households

are defined as those households whose annual income is 50 percent or less of the AMI for the county in which the household resides. Low-income households are defined as those households whose annual income is between 50 percent and 80 percent of the AMI for the county in which the household resides. Over the reporting period for housing production and/or rehabilitation activities, 10 households were extremely low-income, or had incomes at or below 30 percent of the AMI. 6 households were considered very low-income, while 60 households were low-income. In addition, the HOME program provided tenant-based rental assistance to 68 youth aging out of foster care, since this group is more prone to experiencing homelessness than youth with family support.

HTF: The program provides rental housing for families or individuals whose income is at or below 30 percent of the AMI. As of the end of the program year, HTF completed 112 units and put them into service.

HOPWA: The program serves families impacted by HIV/AIDS who fall under 80 percent of the AMI with services such as rental, mortgage, and utility assistance, as well as other supportive services. In total, HOPWA served 874 persons and their family members with housing related activities in FY 24-25.

Additionally, the state coordinates resources so that services to households at or below 80 percent of the AMI are effectively administered. Continued coordination efforts include plans to further address the housing needs of those who face additional barriers. Specifically, youth transitioning out of foster care and formerly incarcerated people, groups who are often experiencing poverty or are at-risk of poverty, have become a focus for THDA and its grantees. We have enhanced a number of our housing programs to encourage the development of housing options for these populations.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure.

Please see below.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies.

To enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies, as well as the development of the institutional structure, the Consolidated Planning partners and their programs complete the following activities and foster the following partnerships:

By using CHDOs, local and regional governments, and social service agencies, the state has invested in the existing structure to implement our programs while requiring and monitoring a level of quality that improves the housing affordability and quality of the units impacted by these funds.

THDA has a Lender/Realtor Advisory Board, as well as an advisory board for homebuyer educators, that assist THDA in ensuring programs are responsive to the needs of consumers and partners. THDA also hosts a peer session for the Homebuyer Education Initiative, which includes a section of fair housing

education, and the Energy Efficiency/Weatherization Advisory Board.

THDA's grantees include local governments, regional Development Districts, and not-for-profit organizations. Each of these partnerships adds strength to the overall institutional structure as well as the strong public-private partnerships that exist throughout the state.

Additionally, THDA promotes participation and the active involvement of HCV residents in all aspects of the Housing Choice Voucher program mission and operation. HCV participants are invited to serve on a Resident Advisory Board to represent their interests. THDA's Resident Advisory Board is composed of active HCV participants who provide supportive assistance to HCV personnel. The Resident Advisory Board consists of a maximum of 15 members. If more than 15 people volunteer for the Board, THDA utilizes a random selection process to ensure proportionate representation from the East, West and Middle divisions of the state. In addition, as required by the federal regulations, the THDA Board of Directors includes one eligible resident board member who can vote on Housing Choice Voucher program issues. The goal of the Resident Advisory Board is to positively impact the overall quality and delivery of HCV services and improve the overall quality of life for HCV participants.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice.

Appendix A provides a detailed assessment of fair housing activities undertaken by CDBG, HOME, HTF, ESG, HOPWA, and other relevant programs, including updates on fair housing planning for 2024-2025.

CR-40 - Monitoring

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The State of Tennessee has established standards and procedures to monitor the use of federal grant funds. Each state department that manages a Consolidated Planning grant program allocates grant resources received in accordance with the preapproved uses of the funds. Contracts, agreements, and other documentation with program participants incorporate the services and activities to be completed, the compliance requirements, and the specific conditions under which funds may be released.

Further, designated staff are responsible for monitoring compliance with applicable federal and state regulations for programs. Each department conducts monitoring activities regularly or as required by HUD regulations to ensure compliance. Some examples of monitoring activities for funded programs include program site visits, regular review of participant-level data via web-based tracking systems, reviews of deliverables reported in monthly and quarterly reports, environmental reviews, on-site construction inspections, and other activities that ensure program compliance.

Specific to minority business outreach and Section 3, within the program documents that grantees receive, policies and procedures are detailed regarding the steps that must be taken to ensure that women and minority businesses are afforded opportunities to bid on service, material, and construction contracts. Grantees also receive a statewide Diversity Business Enterprise Directory to help connect grantees to women and minority owned business options in the state. They are also given the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Directory to help grantees comply with Section 3 and the required HUD forms for both of these areas to ensure awareness of their obligations to market and connect with minority owned businesses and provide economic opportunities for low- and very low-income persons.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Description of the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

For the FY 24-25 CAPER, the State of Tennessee will provide an Overview in English and Spanish and a draft of the CAPER in English on the THDA Consolidated Planning webpage.

Public notices were translated into Arabic, Badini, Chinese, Korean, Laotian, Spanish, Somali, Sorani, and Vietnamese, and available on the SurveyMonkey feedback form. Public notices in English and Spanish and relevant links are published on THDA's Consolidated Planning webpage, on most of the nine Development District websites, and in thirteen major newspapers across the Tennessee.

This year, public notices were published in ten English-language newspapers and in three Spanish-language newspapers:

- Memphis Commercial Appeal
- The Tennessean (Nashville)
- The Herald Citizen (Cookeville)
- The State Gazette (Dyersburg)
- Chattanooga Times Free Press (Chattanooga)
- The Leaf Chronicle (Clarksville)
- Johnson City Press (Johnson City)
- The Knoxville News Sentinel (Knoxville)
- The Daily Herald (Columbia)
- The Jackson Sun (Jackson)
- La Prensa Latina (Memphis)
- El Crucero de Tennessee (Nashville)
- La Campana (Franklin/Nashville)

The State of Tennessee will also send an email blast promoting the draft and public comment period to program grantees, as well as advocacy and social service groups serving protected classes in Tennessee.

The Overview and public comment form will be available on THDA's website from September 3-18, 2025, which meets the requirements set forth in the State of Tennessee's Citizen Participation Plan.

A public meeting will be held on September 17, 2025 from 1:00 - 2:00 PM with options to participate in person at an accessible location or online.

The final appendix contains copies of the translations, posted notices, and other outreach efforts.

CR-45 - CDBG

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Program objectives have remained the same. However, TNECD continues to seek grantee and public feedback on program changes. It uses both the in-person and hybrid format to encourage participation and involvement in the public participation process. No comments were received at the CDBG public hearing. This was not surprising as no substantial programmatic changes were proposed for the FY 24-25 funding cycle.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

CR-50 - HOME

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Here are the following properties that were inspected on-site during this program year, as well as the findings.

Property	Inspection Date	Finding(s)
Behavioral Health Initiatives 300 E-H Austin Street, Savannah	2/20/2025	No findings.
Carey Counseling511 Cherry Street, Tiptonville	2/20/2025	No findings.
CHPWC	3/18/2025	No findings.
2505 Penny Lany, Spring Hill	3/14/2025	
7222 Blue RidgeDr., Fairview	3/14/2025	
160 Cadet Ln., Franklin	3/14/2025	
7344 Hidden Lake, Fairview	3/14/2025	
COMCARE, Inc		No findings.
250 Willow Creek Ct., Mosheim	2/25/2025	
240 Willow Creek Ct., Mosheim	2/25/2025	
200 Willow Creek Ct., Mosheim	2/25/2025	
85 Willow Creek Ct., Mosheim	2/25/2025	

Property	Inspection Date	Finding(s)
Hilltoppers		No findings.
113 Harrison Avenue, Crossville	3/12/2025	
50 Dayton Avenue, Crossville	3/12/2025	
Hope of Martin CDC		No findings.
409 A&B Jackson Street, Martin	3/20/2025	
216 A&B Summer Street, Martin	3/20/2025	
Jonah		No findings.
1385 Watkins, Brownsville	2/25/2025	
1375 Watkins, Brownsville	2/25/2025	
1365 Watkins, Brownsville	2/25/2025	
1525 Eastview Dr., Humboldt	2/25/2025	
2651 Oakview Dr., Humboldt	2/25/2025	
2667 Oakview Dr., Humboldt	2/25/2025	
805 25 th St., Humboldt	2/25/2025	
	7,-0,-000	
Life Bridges		No findings.
3510 Pinecrest, Cleveland	2/26/2025	
McKendree Lambuth		No findings.
1054 Hartsville Pk., Gallatin	3/26/2025	
Southwest CDC		No findings.

Property	Inspection Date	Finding(s)
503 Jeff Street, Bolivar	3/10/2025	
509 Jeff Street, Bolivar	3/10/2025	
511 Jeff Street, Bolivar	3/10/2025	
Volunteer Behavioral Healthcare System		No findings.
1751-1755 Garden Dr., Athens	2/26/2025	

Since its implementation of the 2013 HOME funds in calendar year 2014, THDA has not funded rental housing activities under its HOME program. Beginning with Program Year 2013, THDA moved all rental production from HOME to the THDA-funded Tennessee Housing Trust Fund Competitive Grants program. HOME then re-introduced rental projects in the FY 22-23 grant cycles. Since the FY 22-23 reporting period, rental grantees have made strides to produce the newest additions to the HOME rental stock. These projects should be accounted for during the FY 25-26 reporting period. THDA also has granted HOME-ARP funding with rental activities. Outcomes of these programs will be captured in future CAPER reports.

For FY 24-25 and prior year HOME funding, THDA required that the units meet all applicable local codes, rehabilitation standards, ordinances, and zoning ordinances at the time of project completion and prior to making the final payment on rehabilitation or construction of rental units. In the absence of a local code, HOME-assisted rental new construction of apartments of three or more units must have met the State-adopted International Building Code; HOME-assisted new construction or reconstruction of single-family rental units or duplexes must have met the State-adopted International Residential Code for One-and Two-Family Dwellings; and HOME-assisted rental rehabilitation must have met the State-adopted International Existing Building Code. Rental new construction must also have met the International Energy Conservation Code. In addition, all new construction must have met Energy Star standards as certified by an independent Home Energy Rating System (HERS) rater. All other HOME-assisted rental housing (e.g., acquisition) must meet all applicable state and local housing quality standards and code requirements, and if there were no such standards or code requirements, the housing must have met the Uniform Physical Condition Standards (UPCS).

The 2013 HOME Rule made significant revisions to the Property Standards at §92.251, which were to be effective January 24, 2014. However, the effective date was delayed pending additional guidance from HUD. THDA moved forward with the requirements of the new regulations and adopted written design standards for all HOME-assisted rehabilitation activities. In addition, new construction of rental units must also have met accessibility requirements and mitigated disaster impact, as applicable per state and local codes, ordinances, etc. THDA reviewed and approved written cost estimates and determined cost

reasonableness prior to the grantee putting the project out to bid. These changes were implemented with the 2012 HOME projects funded under Supported Housing Development and 2012 CHDO rental projects in advance of a new effective date.

An assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units.

Prior to beginning a HOME project, grant recipients must adopt affirmative marketing procedures and requirements for all HOME funded home buyer projects with five or more units. Affirmative marketing steps consist of actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to the available housing without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status or disability. These must be approved by THDA prior to any HOME funds being committed to a project. Requirements and procedures must include:

- Methods for informing the public, owners and potential tenants about fair housing laws and the local program's policies;
- A description of what owners and/or the program administrator will do to affirmatively market housing assisted with HOME funds;
- A description of what owners and/or the program administrator will do to inform persons not likely to apply for housing without special outreach;
- Maintenance of records to document actions taken to affirmatively market HOME-assisted units and to assess marketing effectiveness; and
- Description of how efforts will be assessed and what corrective actions will be taken where requirements are not met.

THDA encourages its grantees to identify those populations who are least likely to apply for assistance and to make outreach to those populations. To accomplish this, THDA recommends that the grantee advertise for assistance availability at churches and other religious institutions, convenience stores, libraries, senior centers, and local offices of the TN Department of Human Services. Additionally, THDA requires that each grantee have policies and procedures to assist non-English speaking applicants. Each grantee must also have a process that notifies people with limited-English proficiency of language assistance availability (i.e. notices, signs) that is accessible to individuals seeking assistance. Grantee staff should be knowledgeable of all procedures and processes.

THDA also requires all grantees to use the Fair Housing logo on all program materials.

Data on the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics.

During this reporting period, THDA received \$449,288.48 in HOME program income and expended \$0.00.

In FY 24-25, there were 76 HOME units completed that assisted 10 extremely low-income households, 6

very low-income households, and 60 low-income households. All 76 HOME units completed during FY 24-25 were owner-occupied. 51 were rehabilitation only projects, and 25 were new construction only.

In terms of racial demographics, of the HOME program beneficiaries related to housing production and rehabilitation activities, 50 were white, 19 were Black/African American, and 7 were as multiracial. In addition, HOME assisted 71 single, non-elderly residents, 2 elderly residents, and 5 single-parent households. About eighty percent of beneficiaries—60 of 76—live alone.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing, including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing.

The State of Tennessee will continue to invest HOME and HTF funds to promote the production, preservation, and rehabilitation of affordable housing for individuals and families of low- and very low-income. The state will also invest CDBG funds in community infrastructure to support communities that are home to low-and-moderate income households. ESG and HOPWA also provide both permanent and short-term affordable housing to special needs populations to support their affordable housing needs. The state also maintains relationships with local organizations, which provide alternative housing services to fill the gaps between government-funded programs. These efforts foster and maintain affordable housing throughout the state.

Additional efforts are being made, both through regulatory and development avenues, to find new ways of providing quality, affordable housing. Energy efficiency improvements to the existing housing stock, emergency repair programs, and low-income housing credits are just some of the housing considerations being made to foster more affordable housing in close proximity to resources and services. Further, THDA utilizes the Tennessee Housing Trust Fund (TN HTF), which targets assistance to persons of very low-income, those earning 50 percent or less of the AMI. Within TN HTF, competitive grants support the chronically homeless, persons with disabilities, single mothers recovering from substance addiction or physical abuse, veterans with multiple needs, formerly incarcerated people, and youth transitioning out of foster care. This allows for complimentary housing activities, in addition to those funded through the formula grant programs to provide and maintain critical affordable housing throughout Tennessee.

THDA's Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) prioritizes the preservation of affordable housing. The 2025 Draft QAP sets-aside up to 25 percent of the state's competitive housing credit ceiling to existing multifamily housing or adaptive reuse of existing buildings. Through this set-aside, THDA is creating new affordable housing opportunities while respecting historical character of existing communities. THDA's Qualified Contract Guide assists in the preservation of affordable housing. LIHTC properties eligible to exit from the program are marketed for sale on THDA's website. As potential purchasers contact THDA about these exiting properties, other THDA financing options can be discussed, which can assist the preservation of this housing.

CR-55 – HOPWA

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

This table captures the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities, including short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU) payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA); and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds (ST-TFBH or hotel vouchers).

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility		
assistance to prevent homelessness of the		
individual or family	550	360
Tenant-based rental assistance	125	36
Units provided in permanent housing facilities		
developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA		
funds	-	91
Units provided in transitional short-term		
housing facilities developed, leased, or		
operated with HOPWA funds	125	74
Total	800	561

Narrative

The table above captures services provided to households. However, during the reporting period, the HOPWA program served 874 eligible individuals (total individuals including family or household members benefiting from services), with some receiving multiple services, including other supportive services not mentioned above. A comprehensive assessment of HOPWA and its beneficiaries can be found in HOPWA's annual CAPER.

CR-56 - HTF

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

Housing Trust Fund (HTF): HTF provides rental housing for families or individuals whose income is at or below 30 percent of Area Median Income (AMI). As of the end of the program year, \$8,507,156.00 has been expended, and 112 HTF units have been completed and put into service.

HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	112	0	0	34	0	112
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	32	15	0	0	12
Total Labor Hours	62,228	42,651			452,495
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	20,160	4,238			147,330
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	5,804	1,154			58,216

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing	4	11			10
Targeted Workers	4	11			10
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding	3	8			6
Targeted Workers.	5	o			0
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	7	1			4
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition	3	1			1
for, off-site training.	3	ı			'
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g.,	3	2			3
resume assistance, coaching).	5	2			5
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business	10	11			5
concerns.	10	!!			5
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand	3	1			2
and bid on contracts.)	•			2
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by	4				2
Section 3 business concerns.	Ť				2
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment					
including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job	3	1			2
opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.	3	10			5
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can	3				
provide direct services or referrals.	5				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide					
one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings,	3				1
interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.	3	1			1
Assisted residents to apply for or attend community college or a four	3				1
year educational institution.	5				ļ
Assisted residents to apply for,or attend vocational/technical training.	3				
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.	3				2
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids	3	1			1
from Section 3 business concerns.	3	!			ı
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online	3				
technologies.	3				
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create	9				E
opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	9				5
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as					
designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and	4	1			
Opportunity Act.					
Other.	16	4			1

Narrative

CDBG: For FY 24-25, 77 grant activities were completed, 64 of which are subject to the new Section 3 rule. Of those, 31 activities met the qualifying criteria to report Section 3. Collectively, activities met the established Section 3 goals with target Section 3 hours worked with 32%, and 9% of hours worked by Target Section 3 employees. Although the Section 3 goals were met this year, meeting the established goals of 25% of hours being worked by Section 3 workers and 5% by Targeted Section 3 workers continues to be a challenge for small rural communities. Often construction contracts are awarded to bidders that are not local. They bring their workforce with them and do not typically need to hire additional workers for the job.

Here are other efforts CDBG subgrantees use to meet Section 3 requirements:

- The local government continue outreach efforts within the local communities to employ targeted Section 3 applicants to help aid in building a more diversified and qualified labor force. Outreach efforts include assistance with job applications, on the job-training, referrals within the local community, and support services available for those who are seeking employment.
- Posting on the GoDBE website, sending emails to Section 3 and female business owners and advertising locally.
- Encouraged use of Section 3 subcontractors and employees at preconstruction and within the bid documents.
- Encouraging contractors at the pre-construction conference to hire Section 3 employees if more employees are needed.

HOME: For FY 24-25, there were 15 activities identified that met the qualifying criteria to report on Section 3 activity. Collectively, activities came close to the benchmark for Section 3 worker hours at 10%, and 3% of Targeted Section 3 worker hours. As previously mentioned above, meeting the established goals of 25% of hours being worked by Section 3 workers, and 5% by Targeted Section 3 workers continues to be a challenge for small rural communities.

To ensure compliance with Section 3 requirements, HOME subgrantees implemented several types of proactive measures.

- All invitations to bid included the appropriate Section 3 language to notify potential contractors
 of the obligations. Pre-Bid Conferences were conducted, during which Section 3 goals and
 expectations were thoroughly discussed with attendees.
- Job fairs were held, specifically aimed at recruiting Section 3 residents and businesses. In the lead-up to the event, flyers promoting training opportunities, job openings, and other Section 3-related information were distributed. Additionally, posters encouraging targeted workers, businesses and contractors to apply were prominently displayed at the job site and at City Hall.
- Scholarships were offered to two Tennessee College of Applied Technology students entering
 the building trades, who otherwise could not afford to attend construction certification
 programs.

- The ability to bring subcontractors onto our agency builder's risk insurance policy should they
 need insurance coverage to perform work and are otherwise capable and experienced to
 perform new building jobs was offered.
- Requests for Bids were posted in the local paper and on the GODBE online system. The
 Governor's Office of Diversity Business Enterprise (GODBE) is the State of Tennessee's
 certification agency for diverse business enterprises. Their Mission is to coordinate the State's
 efforts to facilitate greater participation by minority-owned, women-owned, service-disabled
 veteran-owned, persons with disabilities-owned, and small businesses in the State's
 procurement and contracting opportunities.
- A notice posted at construction job sites informing low-income persons and/or persons residing
 in Public Housing and/or persons who receive Section 8 vouchers on how to apply for
 employment.
- A Section 3 plan was executed between prime contractor and grantee to ensure outreach
 measures are successfully conducted for new hires as required, job opportunities were
 advertised via social media, and ads were posted in common areas of housing developments
 and all public housing management offices.
- Section 3 contracting opportunities were advertised in local newspapers inviting Section 3 businesses to participate in the bid process.
- Section 3 notices were posted at the job site and City Hall to provide general information about the work to be contracted and where to obtain additional information regarding the bidding process.

HTF: For FY 24-25, there were 12 activities that met the qualifying criteria to report on Section 3 activity. Collectively, activities exceeded the 25% benchmark of Section 3 hours worked with 32%, and the 5% benchmark of Targeted Section 3 hours worked with 12%.

Here is a summary of the actions taken by HTF project leaders for the 12 activities:

- Throughout the project, extensive communication and outreach occurred to identify, qualify, and certify Section 3 Workers, Targeted Section 3 Workers, and Section 3 Businesses.
- A Pre-Bid meeting was conducted and job fairs were hosted to present new construction project opportunities.
- Signs were added to each project site encouraging residents and local citizens to apply for jobs. Job openings were posted on social media sites including Facebook and LinkedIn.
- YouthBuild was engaged through several meetings and ongoing communication, aimed at aligning our efforts and exploring complementary support services.
- A registry of disadvantaged and small businesses was maintained to ensure their inclusion in all bidding opportunities across projects. Multiple conversations to support businesses pursuing certifications as a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) were also held.
- Budgeting workshops were conducted to assist public housing residents with money
 management, and resume building workshops were held to assist public housing residents with
 developing resumes for job opportunities.

- Technical assistance was provided to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.
- Section 3 information was included in all solicitations and required as a "checkbox" on the actual bid documents.
- Section 3 requirements were explained during pre-bid, pre-award, and pre-construction meetings.
- Contractor divided jobs into separate contracts (framing, plumbing, electric, etc.) to facilitate participation by Section 3 businesses.

CR-70 – ESG - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	5,429
Total Number of bed-nights provided	3,443
Capacity Utilization	68.00%

Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Tennessee ESG Policies and Procedures Manual instructs grantees to adopt performance standards consistent with HUD and THDA program requirements.

Performance Standards/Measures: THDA must ensure that programs and activities funded through the ESG program meet certain Performance Standards as set by the local Continuum of Care, THDA, and HUD. The following is an example of the types of Standards that THDA and its sub-recipients will be required to meet in order to demonstrate success of the ESG program:

- Reducing the average length of time a person is homeless
- Reducing returns to homelessness
- Improving program coverage
- Reducing the number of homeless individuals and families
- Reducing the number of chronically homeless individuals and families
- Improving employment rate and income amounts of program participants
- Reducing first time homelessness
- Preventing homelessness and achieving independent living in permanent housing for families and youth defined as homeless under other Federal programs

Although THDA understands many sub-recipients have chosen to provide one-time emergency rent or utility assistance to prevent homelessness, sub-recipients receiving ESG funds should use all available resources that will ensure the ongoing housing stability of program participants.

CR-75 – Expenditures

ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year						
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Expenditures for Rental							
Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$99,464.59	\$188,525.61	\$120,826.68		
Expenditures for Housing							
Relocation and Stabilization							
Services - Financial Assistance	\$101,324.24	\$138,673.86	\$10,554.18	\$32,416.27	\$2,669.92		
Expenditures for Housing							
Relocation & Stabilization							
Services - Services	\$15,261.74	\$55,835.90	\$33,745.46	\$56,105.62	\$12,037.73		
Expenditures for Homeless							
Prevention under Emergency							
Shelter Grants Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subtotal Homelessness							
Prevention	\$116,585.98	\$194,509.76	\$143,764.23	\$277,047.50	\$135,534.33		

ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year							
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
Expenditures for Rental								
Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$321,784.03	\$144,937.61	\$148,615.93			
Expenditures for Housing								
Relocation and Stabilization								
Services - Financial								
Assistance	\$429,013.49	\$220,746.72	\$166,512.97	\$109,715.79	\$104,245.40			
Expenditures for Housing								
Relocation & Stabilization								
Services - Services	\$359,593.80	\$222,476.70	\$269,712.33	\$182,032.29	\$392,778.60			
Expenditures for Homeless								
Assistance under Emergency								
Shelter Grants Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$788,607.29	\$443,223.42	\$758,009.33	\$436,685.69				

ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year						
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
Essential Services	\$520,696.08	\$359,687.30	\$581,795.96	\$853,702.44	\$918,977.81		
Operations	\$403,261.48	\$464,330.88	\$617,979.20	\$808,324.57	\$393,159.63		
Renovation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Major Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Conversion	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subtotal	\$923,957.56	\$824,018.18	\$1,199,775.16	\$1,662,027.01	\$1,312,137.44		

Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year							
	2020 2021 2022 2023							
Street Outreach	\$121,398.67	\$91,436.04	\$214,551.89	\$103,169.01	\$343,322.98			
HMIS	\$260,654.85	\$165,623.26	\$254,531.40	\$332,748.05	\$314,141.72			
Administration	\$207,156.67	\$187,912.53	\$209,052.74	\$249,558.76	\$224,933.90			

Total ESG Grant Funds

	Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
ſ		\$2,418,361	\$1,906,723	\$2,779,684	\$3,061,236.11	\$2,975.710.30	

Match Source

Source	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Other Non-ESG					
HUD Funds	\$248,615.58	\$150,296.70	\$247,805.48	\$145,902.16	\$211,257.55
Other Federal					
Funds	\$86,477.73	\$55,000.85	\$190,216.08	\$17,623.25	\$192,578.35
State Government	\$0	\$113,500.00	\$119,598.70	\$252,092.56	\$193,754.96
Local Government	\$40,909.90	\$185,646.20	\$55,686.82	\$6,667.15	\$312,937.66
Private Funds	\$629,805.07	\$695,704.21	\$672,650.02	\$802,884.34	\$1,410,164.39
Other	\$1,539,095.27	\$1,282,980.88	\$1,666,106.69	\$1,703,190.94	\$1,152,625.64
Fees	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Program Income	\$0	\$18,041.96	\$53,492.00	\$165,000.00	\$0
Total Match					
Amount	\$2,544,903.55	\$2,501,170.80	\$3,005,555.79	\$3,093.360.40	\$3,473,318.55

Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	\$4.062.26E	¢4 407 904	¢4.407.904	¢6 154 507	Autopopulated
	\$4,963,265	\$4,407,894	\$4,407,894	\$6,154,597	based on above